of capsella Gld., and doubtless have been and will be taken for such. A lot of fine examples, received from the same author, collected in eastern Tennessee, and named capsella, are, to all probability, nothing else but adult significans, in which the last whorl becomes comparatively more voluminous and commonly more descending. The spire is variable from almost flat to rather elevated, and also the umbilicus shows some differences. Among lots, which to all appearance, were Zon. capsellus, there were examples with a single, sometimes barely perceptible, tooth.

9. With all this, I do not feel positive, at present, that Zon. andrewsi W. G. B., and significans Bld., are only the juvenile forms of Zon. placentulus Shuttl. and capsellus Gld. But so much is sure, that they must be desperately similar, respectively, and that they need careful revision, also as to anatomy. The words of W. G. Binney that the latter form "a puzzling group," become of an increased meaning now.

10. For faunistics, it may be of interest that there were a few specimens of *Hyal. ferrea* Mse., from eastern North Carolina, among the materials sent by Mrs. Andrews. In my collection there is one from Randolph Co., West Virginia. Also from different places in eastern Ohio it is known.

New Philadelphia, Ohio, May, 1893.

A REVIEW OF VON IHERING'S CLASSIFICATION OF THE UNIONIDÆ AND MUTELIDÆ.

BY CHAS. T. SIMPSON.

Since the theory of evolution has been generally accepted, a complete revolution has taken place in the methods of study and classification among biologists. All artificial systems, or those based upon a single character, have either been relegated to the past or are hopelessly doomed. Students who are progressive and keep abreast of the times, realize that in the study of organic life it is necessary to seize on to every fact which can possibly aid them in classifying: embryology, anatomy, the study of its development in the past as taught by palaeontology, geographical distribution and habits.

Dr. H. von Ihering, of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, has recently

published in Archiv für Naturgeschichte,¹ a lengthy article on the *Najidae* of San Paulo, Brazil, and a proposed system of classification in which some startling discoveries are brought to light, and which ranks as one of the ablest papers ever written on the subject. This classification, while working a complete revolution in our preconceived ideas of the relationships of the different members of this group, is so clear and philosophical, it so thoroughly takes cognizance of all the known facts, that it is certainly worthy of the most thoughtful consideration. In a brief review like this I can only allude to the more prominent points, and those who are interested should read the paper itself.

H. and A. Adams, in the Genera of Recent Mollusca, divide the Naiades into two families, *Unionidæ* and *Mutelidæ*,² separated by certain minor characters of the shells and animals. Ihering uses the same family names in a somewhat different sense from the Messrs Adams, and unites the whole into a larger group or super-family, which he calls the *Najidæ*. He finds in all the genera which he places in the *Unionidæ*, the larval state is a *glochidium*, that is, a stage or condition in which the animal is completely enclosed in a porous bivalve shell.

On the other hand, the species which he places in *Mutelida*, pass through a state after hatching which he calls a *lasidium*, in which the animal is divided into three parts, of which only the middle bears the small, single shell. He finds, on examination, that those South American forms that have hitherto been placed with *Anodon*, pass through the lasidium stage, hence they must be separated from that genus whose larval state is a glochidium, and he retains for this group the name suggested by Gray—Glabaris. He believes that *Aplodon*, having a few South American species, hitherto placed in *Monocondylaca*, and the so-called African Anodons belong to the same family, and that the latter should be placed in *Glabaris*.

D'Orbigny established the genus *Monocondylwa* for certain species of South American *Naiadae* whose shells possess a single cardinal, and no lateral teeth. Several of these will fall into other natural groups. Ihering does not mention the Asiatic species which Lea

¹ Najaden von S. Paulo und die geographische Verbreitung der Süsswasser Faunen von Südamerika, von H. von Jhering. Jahrg 59. 1 Bd., 1 Heft.

¹ Fischer, Manuel de Conchyliologie, p. 997, divides Unionidæ into two subfamilies; Unioninæ including Unio, Monocondylæa, Pseudodon, Anodonta, Solenaia and Mycetopus; 2d Mutelinæ, with Mutela, Hyria, Castalia and Leila.

18

and others have placed in this genus, but I believe they have no close relationship to these South American forms, and that they are merely depauperate Unios, which have a close affinity to species of that genus found with them.

The Unionidæ of Europe, North and Central America, and probably of the whole Northern Hemisphere, develop eggs in the outer gills alone as far as is known, with the exception of Unio multiplicatus and one or two others, which contain embryos in all four leaves of the branchiae. Ihering states that in all the Najidae hitherto examined from South America, the eggs are borne in the inner gills. I may remark in passing that the shells of the Australian, New Zealand, and many South African Unios bear an astonishing resemblance to those of South America in form, texture, smooth epidermis and concentric, sometimes slightly granulated sculpture, and especially in the peculiarly compressed, parallel cardinal teeth, and Suter states³ that the embryos of N. menziezi are borne in the inner gills. Ihering calls attention to the fact that all South American Unionidæ have a radial beak sculpture, and suggests that probably the same character may be found in the New Zealand species.

I have carefully examined extensive series of Unio menziezi and lutulentus, and on the latter find that the umbos are radiately ribbed where the shells are not too badly eroded, and there are traces of such ridges on the former and on some Australian species. He believes that we shall find the beak sculpture one of the best characters for determining the minor divisions of the Unionidæ. Notwithstanding the opinion of this eminent conchologist, and the fact that Mr. Wm. A. Marshall, of the New York State Museum, who has also given this subject some very careful study, believes that the beak sculpture is quite constant and may be used in determining species, my own experience in handling great quantities of material from all over the world leads me to consider this a somewhat variable character, and although it will no doubt prove very useful in studying species and the smaller groups, yet I am sure it cannot by any means be always relied on.

It is only in Europe that the post-embryonic larvæ of the Unionidæ have been observed actually attached to fishes, though the North American species are known to possess hooks and bristles during this stage, and they no doubt make use of the same means to assist in their distribution, as do their Old World relations.

³ N. Z. Jl. of Science, No. 6, Vol. I (new issue), p. 250.

Ihering fails to find them on any of the South American Unionidæ he has examined, but he has probably overlooked the statement of Lea¹ that the glochidium of Unio firmus of Brazil is provided with both of these appendages.

Castalia was placed in the Mutelidæ by the Messrs Adams, but Ihering shows that it is very closely and curiously related to Unio. In the latter the short branchial siphon is open; in the former it is closed; in Unio the lateral teeth are either smooth or obliquely striated;² in Castalia they are vertically ridged. He has applied the name Castilina to a few species which stand between the two genera, and has given it generic rank. But he shows that there is a complete intergradation and connection from one end of the chain to the other. In certain Castalias there is a typical animal, in others it is that of Unio, and in Castalina there is an almost complete blending and crossing of characters. I have noticed on examining large series of these shells that in some Castalias the peculiar tooth sculpture is nearly wanting.

Von Ihering finds that Unio multistriatus of Brazil is very closely related to N. senegalensis of Africa, and to certain Indian forms. He has, in his collection, a specimen of Unio radula of India that is identical with N. coriaceus from Rio Janeiro, and believes this fact to be a proof of the long duration of the species of this family and probably evidence in favor of the existence of the lost Atlantis.

His arrangement of the families and genera stands as follows:

Mutelidar v. Ih. (nec Adams).

Leila Gray. Glabaris (Gray) v. Ihering. Aplodon Spix. Plagiodon Lea. Fossula Lea. Mycetopus Orb. Solenaia Con. Mutela Scop. Iridina Lam. Pleiodon Con. Spatha Lea. Unionidæ v. Ih. (nec Ad.).

Hyria Lam. Castalia Lam. Castalina v. Ih. Unio Retz. Margaritana Schum. Cristaria Gld. Anodonta Lam.

¹ Observations on the Genus Unio.

² In *Unio tortuosus* Lea, a remarkable inequivalve species from China, the laterals have perpendicular striæ, and Lea remarks that if this is found in all the individuals of the species, it would have to be placed in *Castalia*. It has much the appearance of *Unio ellipsis* Lea.

This classification is, to a certain extent, provisional; and may have to be somewhat modified when we have a fuller knowledge of the anatomy. Whatever else may be said of it, the principle adopted is the right one, and the only one which modern science can recognize. The arrangement of the Adams brothers is largely artificial, both as to genera and subgenera, as well as the system adopted by Lea, as they bring together side by side, species and groups from every country which have no close relationship whatever, and by such methods anatomical and conchological characters, the facts of geographical distribution, habits and palæontology, are ignored.

THE SMALL GREY SLUG IN JAMAICA.

BY T. D. A. COCKERELL.

Some days ago Mr. W. Harris sent me from Cinchona some strawberry plants, together with a beetle larva which was injuring them. Of this larva there will be more to say hereafter, but the object of the present note is to record that among the plants I found three specimens of the small, grey slug of Europe, Agriolimax agrestis. This slug, well-known as a garden pest in England, has never before been noticed in the West Indies, and there can be no doubt that it has been introduced with plants. It is, I suppose, almost impossible to import living plants without sooner or later introducing foreign slugs. They and their eggs come in the earth about the roots, and, in many cases, it must be practically impossible to detect them on arrival. It might be advisable in some cases to isolate newlyarrived plants by water, and search for slugs on them at intervals; or we might import the carnivorous slug, Testacella; or introduce some of our native carnivorous snails, Oleacina, into the locality where the plants were being propagated. It has been recorded that in twenty-four hours, 25 specimens of Testacella devoured 25 earthworms and 25 Agriolimax agrestis.

The small, grey slug, although now first detected here, has spread to many distant localities by human means. I have seen specimens from various parts of the United States, west to the Pacific coast and east to New Jersey, from St. Helena, the Canary Islands, Tristan d'Acunha, New Zealand, etc., and no doubt in time it will inhabit every part of the earth in which the climate is suit-